

ACTION REQUIRED TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA **PARCEL FEATURE CLASS**

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

✓ PARCELID	
✓ TAXPARCELID	
✓ PARCELDATE	
✓ TAXROLLYEAR	
♦ OWNERNAME1	• OWNERNAME1: See comment below in AUXCLASS regarding owner names for government-owned tax exempt lands.
✓ OWNERNAME2	
* PSTLADDRESS	• PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 4 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as ' SUPERIOR, WI 54880.' Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address.
✓ SITEADDRESS	
✓ ADDNUMPREFIX	
✓ ADDNUM	
✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX	
✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains	
✓ STREETNAME	
✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains	
✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains	
✓ LANDMARKNAME	
✓ UNITTYPE	
✓ UNITID	
✓ PLACENAME	
✓ ZIPCODE	
✓ ZIP4	
✓ STATE	
✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains	
✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains	
✓ CNTASSDVALUE	
✓ LNDVALUE	
✓ IMPVALUE	
✓ MFLVALUE	
✓ ESTFMKVALUE	
✓ NETPRPTA	
✓ GRSPRPTA	
* PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains	• PROPCCLASS: Some records with PROPCCLASS = 3 (Manufacturing) were either "0" or missing values in the tax roll-specific fields, such as CNTASSDVALUE, IMPVALUE, and LANDVALUE. These values seem to indicate a problem with the data export. This could be because the county populated these attributes with the 2021 work roll values instead of the 2020 *final tax roll* values, as the initial version of the GCS extract module was mistakenly programmed to do. Check your values in tax roll fields—perhaps with RPL—and ensure accuracy of values for future data submissions.
♦ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains	• AUXCLASS: Per schema specs, for publicly owned parcels (AUXCLASS = X1-X4), the same owner should be designated the same way if they own multiple parcels (e.g., not "STATE OF WISCONSIN (DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES)", "WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES" and "STATE OF WISCONSIN DNR," which all occur in the county dataset). In other words, standardize owner names for public parcels to the extent possible/permissible by recording document policy.
✓ ASSDACRES	
✓ DEEDACRES	
✓ GISACRES	
✓ CONAME – with standardized domains	
✓ PARCFIPS – with standardized domains	
✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains	
✓ –Projection met statewide schema	
✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)	
✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema	

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK	• (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK	• (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK	• (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names	

SUBMISSION FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V7 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1-V6 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Feedback on the annual parcel data submission process is welcome to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your submission. You can read user testimonials in the forthcoming *V7 Final Report* and the *V6 Final Report*. There are a lot of happy end-users of the statewide parcel layer who appreciate your efforts to make the county's data available in a standardized format!